



8-123

AY/3628
#17 W
8-21-03

REPLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.116- Expedited Procedure –
Technology Center 3628

Khai Hee Kwan
P.O.Box 1178
Sandakan
Sabah 90713
Malaysia

Mailing Label is EE632068249MY

M/s Debra F Charles

Applicant : Khai Hee Kwan
Appl. No. : 09/376381
Filed : Aug 18, 1999
Grp./A.U. : 3628
Examiner : Debra F Charles
Docket No. : NA

RECEIVED
AUG 15 2003
GROUP 3600

RE: Response to FINAL office action mailed June 18, 2003 (Corrected Version)

Please note: The 18 June mailing date was found in PAIR, the actual mailing date printed on package is 10 July 2003 for the first version. The corrected version was actually faxed to us on the 15 July 2003. The examiner informed us the first mailing date is to be used for the corrected version. We have consistently used the mailing date found in PAIR throughout our response. For clarity, we ask for this matter to be settled later.

Included in this response to your above action letter.

Total pages excluding this cover page is	74 consisting :
Response	page 1- 54
Appendix 1 Marked Version of Claim	page 55-73
Appendix 2 Marked Version of Specification	page 74

Optional for Examiner :

<u>Appendix 3 clean version of claims</u>	pages 1-9
---	-----------

We believe the examiner will benefit from this clean version given the extensive amendments. However USPTO may choose to ignore this in formatting for electronic file wrapper requirements. We have therefore included this pages separately.

The examiner is respectfully invited to telephone the applicant should he has any questions or if he believes that a teleconference would advance the prosecution of the


REPLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.116- Expedited Procedure – 2
Technology Center 3628

present application. The examiner may wish to set a time and date by email so the applicant may call back instead. I respectfully thank you for your reconsideration.

Also note that our NEW fax number is 1- 309- 4377071 and we would appreciate if the examiner would fax the advisory action letter to the above fax for our quick response.


I hereby declared that we have mailed this response on the 4 August 2003 using EMS Mail.

Yours truly,



Khai Hee KWAN
Customer number 023336
Email: khkwan@yahoo.com

1 August, 2003



Application number: 09/376381

Art Unit: 3628

Applicant: Khai Hee Kwan

Examiner: Debra F Charles.

Title: Method, apparatus and program for pricing, transferring, buying, selling and exercising of freight cargo options on the World Wide Web.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TO: Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

RECEIVED
AUG 15 2003
GROUP 3600

In reply to a FINAL Office Action mailed on June 18, 2003. (Corrected Version)

Administrative Matters

The original Final Rejection Letter was mailed on the 18 June 2003. However based on our fax dated 9 July 2003, the examiner has withdrawn the earlier Rejection Letter and replaced it with a corrected version faxed to us on the 15 July 2003 which is being used here for our response. We like to highlight the fact that in the corrected rejection letter, it was mentioned that our fax of 11 July 2003 while the fact is that our fax was send on the 9 July 2003 and dated the same. Secondly, given that almost 6 days have passed before we finally received the corrected version, we ask these six days be added as part of our extended response period.

CLAIMS AMENDMENTS

With the examiner's permission, please enter our proposed amendments as per Appendix 1 (Markup Version). By amending our claims we are not conceding to the examiner's rejection but have done so in order to expedient our application. An optional clean version is also provided and is separately page 1-9 because we believe the amendments are extensive enough to warrant such. It is marked as Appendix 3.

SPECIFICATIONS AMENDMENTS

With the examiner's permission, please enter our proposed amendments as per Appendix 2 (Markup Version). Our reasoning is supported in our rebuttal for USC 112 Para 1.